#### ECON 360 - Econometrics

#### Useful STATA Commands for In-Class Exercises

This file provides commands that are used to perform in-class exercises. We will be using the dataset "WAGE2.dta" to demonstrate usage of STATA commands.

To open a dataset: File > Open > Navigate to the folder where you have saved the data file (in this example, "WAGE2.dta")

You can implement a command (i.e., tell STATA to do something) by typing your code in the *Command* window (the rectangular window at the bottom of the screen) and press "Enter". The results appear in the *Output* window (the rectangular window above the Command window).

# I. EXAMINING A DATA SET: THE BASICS

- To get a quick glimpse at the data **browse** the dataset: **br**
- To see the structure of the dataset **describe** its contents: **des**
- To tabulate categories of a specific variable, "married": tab married
- To get summary statistics of all the variables in the dataset: sum
  This will report the number of observations, mean, standard deviation, minimum value and maximum value of the variables.
- To get summary statistics of a specific variable, "wage": sum wage
- To get additional statistics, such as skewness, kurtosis, the four smallest and largest values, and various percentile, add the "detail" option after "sum": sum wage, detail
- To get correlation between two variables, age and wage: corr age wage
- Use of a conditional operator (Using if): Suppose we want to know mean "wage" for married individuals:

sum wage if married == 1
Suppose we want to know mean "wage" for unmarried individuals:
sum wage if married == 0
Here we use a double equal sign (==) for testing equality.

Following are some useful operators in Stata:

- + plus
- minus
- \* multiply
- / divide
- ^ exponent
- = equal
- & and
- | or
- > greater than
- >= greater than or equal to
- <= less than or equal to
- < less than
- ! not (also ~)
- ! = not equal to (also ~ =)
- == logical test for equality (usually follows "if")

## **II. DATA CLEANING: CREATING VARIABLES**

We can create new variables using gen command. We can change the value of an existing variable using replace command.

Following are some examples:

- Generate log of "hours": gen lhours = log(hours) Note: While creating a new variable, pick a name (in this example, lhours) for the new variable. The gen command is followed by "new variable name", which is followed by "= mathematical expression to create the variable".
- Generate square of "age": gen agesq = age\*age
- Generate an interaction term (interact married with education):
   gen marriededuc = married\*educ
- Generate an indicator variable "fulltime" which takes value 1 if individuals work 40 hours or more per week, otherwise the variable takes value 0.
   gen fulltime = 1 if hours>=40
   replace fulltime = 0 if hours<40</li>
- Generate a random variable: gen randomvar = uniform()
   The uniform() function generates random draws from a uniform distribution between 0 and 1.

# III. DATA VISUALIZATION: CREATING PLOTS

# \*SCATTER PLOT

To create a scatterplot, use the scatter command, then list the variables you want to plot. The first variable you list will be the Y variable and the second will be the X variable.

Suppose we want to plot "wage" in the y-axis and "age" in the x-axis: scatter wage age

# \*SCATTER PLOT WITH LINEAR PREDICTION

We want to make a scatterplot, and add a linear prediction-based line of best fit. To do that, first specify the scatterplot, and next add the command for linear fit plot scatter wage age || lfit wage age

# \*HISTOGRAM

Plot the distribution of "hours": hist hours

#### IV. DATA ANALYSIS: RUN REGRESSION

#### \*SIMPLE REGRESSION

Let's run a simple regression:  $wage = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + u$ 

#### reg wage educ

Note: We are regressing wage(y) on educ(x). The **reg** command is followed by dependent or y variable first, and then by explanatory or x variable.

- Linear Model:  $wage = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + u$ reg wage educ
- Log-linear Model: lwage = β<sub>0</sub> + β<sub>1</sub>educ + u
  reg lwage educ
  Note: "lwage" variable is already there in the dataset, we don't need to generate it.
- Linear-log Model: wage = β<sub>0</sub> + β<sub>1</sub>leduc + u
  First, generate log of education: gen leduc = log(educ)
  Then, run regression: reg wage leduc
- Log-log Model:  $lwage = \beta_0 + \beta_1 leduc + u$ reg lwage leduc

## \*MULTIPLE REGRESSION

Next we run a multiple regression, where we have one dependent (wage) variable and 2 explanatory variables (age and educ):  $wage = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + \beta_2 age + u$ 

## reg wage educ age

Next we run the multiple regression for those who have more than 12 years of education: reg wage educ age if educ>12

Next we run the multiple regression by **adding** more explanatory variables (tenure, square of tenure, experience, and square of experience):

 $wage = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + \beta_2 age + \beta_3 tenure + \beta_4 tenuresq + \beta_5 exper + \beta_6 expersq + u$ Note: We first need to create the variables "square of tenure" and "square of experience":

# gen tenuresq = tenure\*tenure

#### gen expersq = exper\*exper

Then, run the regression: reg wage educ age tenure tenursq exper expersq

Next we re-run the multiple regression by **dropping** some explanatory variables (experience, and square of experience):  $wage = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + \beta_2 age + \beta_3 tenure + \beta_4 tenuresq + u$ reg wage educ age tenure tenursq

### \*CONTROL FOR HETEROSKEDASTICITY

To control for heteroskedasticity, run the regression with "robust" option reg wage age tenure tenursq, robust This reports robust standard errors.

## \*ADD INTERACTION TERM

Let's add an interaction term for being married and living in urban area.

First, generate the interaction term:

## gen marriedurban = married\*urban

Then run the regression:

 $wage = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + \beta_2 age + \beta_3 tenure + \beta_4 tenuresq + \beta_5 marriedurban + u$ 

reg wage educ age tenure tenursq marriedurban

#### \*LINEAR PROBABILITY MODEL

In this case, the dependent variable is a **binary variable**. Consider the following model:  $Fulltime = \beta_0 + \beta_1 age + u$ . Here,  $\beta_1$  tells us the change in the probability of working full time from a one year increase in age, holding everything else constant.

Note that we already created the indicator variable "fulltime" which takes value 1 if individuals work 40 hours or more per week, otherwise the variable takes value 0.

gen fulltime = 1 if hours>=40

#### replace fulltime = 0 if hours<40

We will now run the regression: reg fulltime age

# V. POST-ESTIMATION ANALYSIS: AFTER RUNNING THE REGRES-SION

## \*PREDICT FITTED VALUES AND RESIDUALS

The predict command can be used to generate predicted (fitted) values and the residuals after running reg.

First, run the regression:  $wage = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + u$  reg wage educ

Next, generate the predicted values of wage using **predict** immediately after running the regression:

predict wagehat, xb

Similarly, generate the residual for each observation:

```
predict uhat, resid
```

Next, we can perform more analysis using these newly generated variables, "wagehat"
and "uhat". For example:
sum wagehat
scatter wagehat educ
sum uhat
gen uhatsq = uhat\*uhat

# \*HYPOTHESIS TESTING

First, run the log-linear model: reg lwage educ age exper married motheduc fatheduc

We will now test the hypothesis that experience has no effect on log(wage). test exper = 0

```
The t-statistic can be obtained by running the following code:

scalar tvalue = (_b[exper]-0)/_se[exper]
We can get the p-value by running the following code:
scalar pvalue = ttail(928,tvalue)
Note: The degrees of freedom = No. of observations - No. of explanatory variables - 1 =
935 - 6 - 1 = 928.
The following code will display the t-statistic and the p-value on Output window:
```

```
display "T-value: " tvalue ", P-value: " pvalue
```

Next, we will test the hypothesis that the rate of return to an extra year of experience is 10%.

```
test exper = 0.10
scalar tvalue2 = (_b[exper]-0.10)/_se[exper]
display "T-value: " tvalue2
```

Next, we will conduct a test for joint significance of motheduc and fatheduc:

```
test motheduc fatheduc
```

Next, we test the hypothesis that another year of experience has the same effect on log(wage) as another year of education:

```
test educ = exper
Alternative code:
lincom educ-exper
```

Next, we investigate whether the effect of education on log(wage) differ by marital status. We will first generate an interaction term: gen marreduc = married\*educ Then, we will run the regression by including the interaction term: reg lwage educ age exper married motheduc fatheduc marreduc Then, we will test whether the coefficient on marreduc is zero or not. test marreduc = 0